

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES

FA/153A

DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (IF KNOWN, SEE 37 CFR

CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

To Be Assigned

09/155041

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.

PCT/GB97/02172

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

8/12/97

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED

9/15/96

TITLE OF INVENTION

WATER VAPOUR PERMEABLE COMPOSITE MATERIAL

300 Rec'd PCT/PTO 17 SEP 1998

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

DAVID GEORGE HALLEY, GEORGE MACLEAN CLARKSON AND JOHN EDWARD TROUBA

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☒ This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371 (c) (2))
- a. ☐ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
- b. ☒ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
- c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
- ☐ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
- ☒ A copy of the International Search Report (PCT/ISA/210).
- ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(3))
- a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
- b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
- c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
- d. ☒ have not been made and will not be made.
- ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
10. ☐ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(4)).
11. ☐ A copy of the International Preliminary Examination Report (PCT/IPEA/409).
12. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(5)).

## Items 13 to 18 below concern document(s) or information included:

13. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
14. ☐ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
15. ☐ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.
- A **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** preliminary amendment.
16. ☒ A substitute specification.
17. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
18. ☐ Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail
19. ☐ Other items or information:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the Express Mail Post Office to Addressee Service of the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231 on

with an Express Mail Label, bearing the number EH560450116 U.S.

Diana L. Gilly  
(signature of person mailing document)  
September 17, 1998  
(date of mailing document)

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (IF KNOWN, SEE 37 CFR <b>To Be Assigned</b>	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. <b>PCT/GB97/02172</b>	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER <b>FA/153A</b>
---	--	--

20. The following fees are submitted:.				<b>CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY</b>	
<b>BASIC NATIONAL FEE ( 37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)) :</b>					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO .....		<b>\$930.00</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) .....		<b>\$720.00</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) .....		<b>\$790.00</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO .....		<b>\$1,070.00</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4) .....		<b>\$98.00</b>		
<b>ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</b>				<b>\$930.00</b>	
Surcharge of <b>\$130.00</b> for furnishing the oath or declaration later than months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492 (e)). <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30				<b>\$0.00</b>	
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		
Total claims	57 - 20 =	37	x \$22.00	<b>\$814.00</b>	
Independent claims	1 - 3 =	0	x \$82.00	<b>\$0.00</b>	
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable).			<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>\$0.00</b>	
<b>TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =</b>				<b>\$1,744.00</b>	
Reduction of 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity Statement must also be filed (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28) (check if applicable). <input type="checkbox"/>				<b>\$0.00</b>	
<b>SUBTOTAL =</b>				<b>\$1,744.00</b>	
Processing fee of <b>\$130.00</b> for furnishing the English translation later than months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492 (f)). <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 +				<b>\$0.00</b>	
<b>TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =</b>				<b>\$1,744.00</b>	
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31) (check if applicable). <input type="checkbox"/>				<b>\$0.00</b>	
<b>TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =</b>				<b>\$1,744.00</b>	
				<b>Amount to be: refunded</b>	\$
				<b>charged</b>	\$


☒ A check in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_ to cover the above fees is enclosed.

☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. \_\_\_\_\_ in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_ to cover the above fees.  
A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. **07-1729** A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

**NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.**

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

  
SIGNATURE

**Gary A. Samuels**  
NAME

**02,811**  
REGISTRATION NUMBER

**September 17, 1998**  
DATE

## WATER VAPOUR PERMEABLE COMPOSITE MATERIAL

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a flexible water-resistant water-vapour-permeable composite material, particularly for use in garments, which exhibits a combination of good breathability (i.e. water vapour transmission) and good durability.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Water-vapour-permeable laminate materials which are resistant to liquid water penetration are known from US patents 3,953,566 and 4,194,041 (W. L. Gore & Associates Inc.) US Patent No. 3,953,566 refers to the production of an expanded porous polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane. Such membranes generally have intrinsically poor abrasion resistance. US Patent No. 4,194,041 discloses a material which comprises a porous membrane (particularly expanded PTFE) provided on one surface thereof with a continuous layer of a hydrophilic material which is water-vapour-permeable, such as a hydrophilic polyurethane which has poor abrasion resistance. Other water-resistant water-vapour-permeable materials also having poor abrasion resistance are also commercially available and these include polyurethane coatings applied to a fabric, polyurethane membranes laminated to a fabric, and polyester membrane-fabric combinations.

Such materials often include an inner liner which protects against abrasion the polyurethane-coated face of the material in use. The preformed liner is generally laminated to the polyurethane-coated face by means of a layer of adhesive. However, the liner adds to the cost, weight and bulk of the material. In some applications, it may be desirable to eliminate the liner. However, this has the disadvantage of exposing the soft hydrophilic polyurethane directly to abrasive forces.

US Patent No. 5,026,591 discloses coating a scaffold material (expanded PTFE or microporous polypropylene) with a continuous coating of a hydrophilic material (hot melt hydrophilic polyurethane or polyurethane acrylate) and pressing directly into the coating a substrate (a polyamide non-woven, a polycotton woven blend etc.) and then allowing the coating to cure. The objective is to provide a continuous coating of hydrophilic material without any leaks therein, sandwiched between the scaffold material and the substrate.

US Patent No. 4,925,732 discloses the production of a laminate for making shoes composed of a pair of moisture permeable materials (e.g. leather and fabric) adhered together by means of a moisture permeable adhesive (e.g. a polyurethane adhesive).

European Patent No. 0465817 discloses a laminate for use as a protective material comprising an expanded PTFE liquid barrier layer, having a water-vapour permeable adhesive layer thereon, and active carbon beads and a net partially embedded in the adhesive layer. The net however remains above the surface of the adhesive so as to protect the active carbon beads from being mechanically dislodged. The net thickness is typically about 0.5 mm (500 microns).

Water-resistant materials which resist liquid water penetration are well known to persons skilled in the art of making rainwear. Clearly, the degree of water-resistance required in a so-called waterproof garment depends upon the severity of the climatic conditions to which it is subjected. A suitable test of water-resistance (Suter test) is described herein. An acceptable practical indication of water-resistance is one in which there is no evidence of water being forced through a sample by a pressure of 1.4 pounds per square inch ( $0.1\text{kg/cm}^2$ ), or more typically 2.0 pounds per square inch ( $0.14\text{kg/cm}^2$ ). This also gives a measure of hydrophobicity in respect of porous materials.

The benefit of a water-vapour-permeable material is that perspiration from the wearer's body is allowed to escape from within the garment by passage through the material, thus preventing build-up of liquid water within the garment and consequent clammy feeling. In order to be considered as water-vapour-permeable, the flexible substrate

should generally have a water-vapour-permeability of at least 1,000, preferably greater than 1500 and more preferably greater than 3000 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day. However, values in excess of 100,000 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day are possible with certain substrates. The overall water-vapour-permeability of the flexible composite material of the present invention will usually be somewhat lower than this (e.g. 5,000 to 12,000 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day or up to 30,000 g/m<sup>2</sup> for certain substrates) but generally speaking its water-vapour-permeability may also be within the ranges outlined above.

A suitable water-resistant water-vapour-permeable flexible membrane is disclosed in US Patent No. 3,953,566 which discloses a porous expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) material. The expanded porous PTFE has a micro-structure characterised by nodes interconnected by fibrils. If necessary, the water-resistance may be enhanced by impregnating the expanded PTFE with an hydrophobic impregnant (such as a low molecular weight perfluoro compound, for example a perfluoroalkyl acrylate or methacrylate). Such impregnants are also oleophobic. The impregnants can coat the nodes and fibrils of the porous PTFE.

The water-resistant water-vapour-permeable membrane might also be a microporous material such as a high molecular weight microporous polyethylene or polypropylene, microporous polyurethanes or polyesters.

In addition, the water-resistant water-vapour-permeable flexible membrane may include a coating of a water-resistant water-vapour-permeable hydrophilic film of the type disclosed in US Patent No. 4,194,041, the membrane and hydrophilic film together forming a substrate. Such hydrophilic films are generally also oleophobic. The flexible membrane may be formed of porous expanded PTFE as described in US Patent No. 3,953,566.

Such materials as described are essentially conventional.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide good abrasion resistance in water-resistant water-vapour-permeable composite materials, without the need for a protective liner.

According to the present invention there is provided a composite material for a garment or the like comprising

- (a) a water-resistant, water-vapour-permeable, flexible substrate having a first and second side;
- (b) a fabric secured to said first side of the substrate; and
- (c) a plurality of discrete abrasion-resisting polymeric dots forming a discontinuous lining-forming pattern over the surface of said second side of the substrate and which dots resist abrasion of the flexible substrate.

According to a further aspect of the present invention the composite material is embodied in garments such as hats, gloves or shoes.

According to a still further aspect of the present invention there is provided a process of producing a composite lining material for a garment or the like comprising securing a fabric to a first side of a flexible, water-resistant, water-vapour-permeable substrate, and applying a plurality of abrasion-resisting polymeric dots to a second side of said substrate in order to form a discontinuous lining-forming pattern over the surface of said second side to resist abrasion of the flexible substrate.

The contribution made by the present invention is to provide a discontinuous abrasion-resisting lining-forming layer over the flexible substrate material so as to provide a protective surface layer which protects the water-vapour-permeable flexible substrate from abrasion forces in a particularly lightweight, convenient and economical manner. Thus, it is surprisingly found that the application of an abrasion resisting layer, comprising a discontinuous pattern of abrasion-resisting polymeric material is in itself sufficient to provide abrasion resistance and durability, without the need to apply the conventional inner liner. The abrasion-resisting polymeric material constitutes the surface layer of the composite material and is the layer which is innermost when the material is used to

form a garment, that is to say it is the surface layer which is closest to the skin of the wearer. It has been surprisingly found that the discontinuous pattern of abrasion-resisting material, whilst providing the necessary moisture vapour permeability, is sufficient to resist abrading of the material during flexing thereof, both against itself and against any other materials which may be present (for example other garments worn by the wearer).

For the purposes of the present invention, it is preferable that, for a given polymer, the resistance to abrasion is greater for a lining formed by polymeric dots which have a smooth, rounded, non-angular external surface. Also, smooth rounded dots, when constituting the innermost lining surface of a garment, will present a more comfortable feel to the wearer and avoid snagging of the skin or any inner clothing worn by the wearer.

Embodiments of the present invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:-

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a fragmentary plan view, to an enlarged scale of a first embodiment of a composite lining material in accordance with the invention;

Fig. 2 is a diagrammatic cross-sectional view on the line A-A of Fig. 1;

Fig. 3 is a diagrammatic cross-sectional view similar to Fig. 2 of a second embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 4 is a perspective view from above of an image produced from a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) of a composite lining material incorporating polymeric dots in accordance with the invention;

Fig. 5 is a vertical sectional view of an image produced from a Scanning Electron Microscope of a polymeric dot on a composite lining material in accordance with the invention; and

Fig. 6 is a schematic illustration of a coating apparatus for applying, in accordance with the invention, an abrasion-resisting

polymeric material onto a substrate.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Referring to Figs. 1 and 2 of the drawings, a composite material comprises a waterproof, water-vapour-permeable flexible substrate 2 having a face fabric 4 adhered to one side 6 of the substrate 2. A second side 8 of the substrate 2 has firmly adhered thereto an abrasion-resisting, discontinuous lining-forming layer 10 made up of a plurality of discrete, substantially smooth-surfaced non-angular dots 12 of an abrasion-resisting polymeric material such as an abrasion-resisting polyurethane and which dots project from the side 8 of the substrate 2. The polymer from which the dots are made should have an elastic modulus of greater than about 800 psi ( $5.5 \text{ Nmm}^{-2}$ ) in order to provide the desired abrasion resistance.

The flexible substrate 2 is composed of an expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane as disclosed in aforementioned US Patent No. 3,953,566 and which PTFE has a porous microstructure characterised by nodes interconnected by fibrils. The membrane 2 is resistant to passage of liquid water therethrough but is water-vapour-permeable. The membrane 2 has a weight of  $15\text{g/m}^2$  and a maximum pore size of 0.2 microns determined by known bubble point measurement techniques such as that described in US Patent No. 5026591 (Henn).

The face fabric 4 is laminated to side 6 of substrate 2 by any conventional means and the face fabric 4 can be one of a number of known face fabrics such as a woven, non-woven or knitted fabric of a material such as nylon or polyester. In use, the face fabric constitutes the outer surface of a garment formed from the composite material and provides the required visual or aesthetic appearance and the necessary mechanical properties.

Each of the polyurethane dots 12 is substantially circular in plan view as shown in Fig. 1 and part-spherical in cross-section as shown in Fig. 2 defined by an arc having a radius of the order of about 400



microns. In the present embodiment the dots 12 are arranged in repeat regular pattern of seven dot rosettes, i.e. six dots are arranged around a central seventh dot. The rosettes are identified by the imaginary dotted lines in Fig. 1. Each dot 12 has a cross dimension in the plane of the substrate constituted by a diameter  $d$  of the order of 500 microns and a height of around 100 microns. The centres of the six dots 12 around their associated central dot lie on a circle having a diameter  $D$  of the order of about 1500 say 1460 microns and the distance or pitch between the centres of adjacent dots is of the order of 750 microns, i.e. the distance between the periphery of adjacent dots is about half of the dot diameter. The ratio of the distance between adjacent dot centres, the dot diameter and the dot height is for example with the range of 7.5:5:1 to 15:10:1. The dots 12 in the pattern of Fig. 1 occupy approximately 40% of the surface area of the composite material to which they are applied. All the foregoing measurements were taken from an image produced by a Scanning Electron Microscope.

Fig. 3 illustrates a second embodiment of the invention which is similar to the embodiment described above with reference to Figs. 1 and 2 and the same reference numerals are given to equivalent components of Figs. 1 and 2. The embodiment of Fig. 3 involves the incorporation of an additional hydrophilic coating 14 of a water-resistant water-vapour permeable material such as a water-vapour-permeable polyurethane of the type disclosed in aforementioned US Patent No. 4,194,041.

Fig. 4 illustrates a third embodiment of the invention as viewed by a Scanning Electron Microscope showing a substrate 14 provided with a plurality of polymeric dots 16 arranged in parallel rows. Each dot 16 is generally circular in plan as can be seen from Fig. 4 and has a plurality of minor perforations 17 in its surface created during formation of the dots.

Fig. 5 illustrates, to an enlarged scale, a vertical section of one of the dots 16 of Fig. 4 and it is apparent that the outline of the dot 16 is substantially part-spherical in vertical section and has a hollow interior 18.

Fig. 6 illustrates diagrammatically one form of coating apparatus suitable for applying a layer of abrasion resisting polymeric dots to a flexible substrate to produce a composite material as described above

with reference to Fig. 1 to 3. The construction of the apparatus and its mode of operation follow the general disclosure of US Patent No. 5,026,591.

Referring to Fig. 6, a roll 20 of expanded PTFE membrane to which is laminated a face fabric formed of woven texturised nylon or woven polyester is unwound and fed as a web 22 of width 140 cm. to 150 cm over a brake roller 24 which serves to apply a constant tension thereto and then into the nip between a pressure roller 28 and a gravure roller 30. The gravure roller 30 has a seven dot rosette pattern of surface recesses therein for receiving liquid polymeric material and applying this in the form of a pattern of discrete polymeric dots to the web 22 of substrate membrane. The liquid polymeric layer is a reactive hot-melt hydrophilic polyurethane (designated and hereinafter referred to as OLC-5T) prepared according to Example 1 of US Patent No. 5,209,969). The polyurethane OLC-5T when cured is tough and abrasion-resistant having an elastic modulus of greater than 800 psi ( $5.5 \text{ Nmm}^{-2}$ ). The liquid polymeric material is applied at a temperature of 40 to 80°C on to the gravure roller 30 having a temperature of 40 to 80°C by means of a doctor blade assembly 32 held at a temperature of between 40 and 80°C which applies the liquid polymeric material to the surface of the gravure roller 30 and wipes excess material therefrom, such that the recesses in the surface of the gravure roller 30 are filled with liquid polymeric material. Further rotation of the roller 30 enables the polymeric material to be gravure printed on to one side of the web 22 of substrate membrane.

The membrane with the applied polymeric layer of discrete dots is then passed over an oil-filled hot roller 36 where the oil temperature is between 160°C and 200°C which effects curing of the polymeric material at an elevated temperature of between 160 and 200°C. The composite material so formed is then fed over a series of idler rolls 38. Cooling occurs as the composite material passes over cooling can 42 which is typically filled with cold water. The composite material is hauled off over further idler rollers 44 and load cell rollers 46 which monitor tension at around 100 to 400 N in the continuous web of composite material and by

which time the abrasion-resisting polymeric dots have at least partially cured to a solid mass, thereby allowing the composite material to be rolled up onto a core. The continuous substrate is passed through the coating apparatus at a speed of about 7 to 15m/min.

It will be appreciated that the embodiments described with reference to Figs. 1 to 5 and the method described with reference to Fig. 6 are examples only of the present invention and that variations are possible within the scope of the invention as set out below.

It has been found desirable to lay down the dots 12 of abrasion-resisting polymeric material directly onto the flexible substrate 2 such as to form the abrasion-resisting layer in situ. The use of preformed materials as the abrasion-resisting layer has been found to be unsatisfactory. Convenient application methods include screen printing, as well as gravure printing as described above in relation to Fig. 6 or spraying. This results in an intimate bond being formed between the abrasion-resisting layer of dots 12 and the flexible substrate 2, whilst at the same time allowing a suitably thin layer of abrasion-resisting material to be applied. The polymeric material may be applied to selected areas of the substrate in liquid form from a hot melt, by solution coating or by emulsion coating. The liquid polymeric material may include a prepolymer which cures in situ, a UV light curable polymer, a room temperature vulcanising polymer, or a thermoplastic polymer. Suitable elastomeric polymers include polyesters, polyvinylchloride, polyamides, silicones, polyurethanes, or polyurethane-polyester composites. Reactive polyurethanes, such as blocked polymers, whose reactive groups unblock above a certain temperature are especially useful. Fillers may be included provided their particle size is less than the smallest dimension of the discontinuous layer. The cured polymer will generally be resistant to conventional dry-cleaning solvents. Where the substrate 2 includes a hydrophilic coating polyurethane layer 14 (Fig. 3), the abrasion resisting polymer when cured will be chemically compatible therewith.

From the foregoing it will be appreciated that a "hard" abrasion-resistant polyurethane such as OLC-5T is a preferred polymeric material

for the formation of dots in accordance with the invention. It should be noted that the type of polyurethane used for the dots has different

- characteristics from the "soft" type of polyurethane which may be used for the hydrophilic coating 14 in the embodiment of Fig. 3.

It will be understood by those skilled in the art that within the generic family of polyurethane polymers there exists a wide range of polymers having diverse properties of toughness, hardness, elasticity, hydrophilicity etc. Generally, it is known that polyurethane polymers having a sufficient degree of hydrophilicity and water vapour permeability to render them suitable for use in garments have poor toughness and abrasion resistance. However, polyurethane polymers exist which are very tough and have high abrasion resistance but do not have the water vapour permeability that is required for garment applications.

In the present invention the abrasion-resisting dot polymer is selected from the latter range of polyurethane polymers and it has been found that polymers having an elastic modulus of greater than about 800 psi ( $5.5 \text{ Nmm}^{-2}$ ) are suitable materials for forming the abrasion-resisting dots in accordance with the present invention.

The abrasion resisting polymer may itself be water-vapour-permeable. This, however, is generally not necessary provided that the percentage coverage of the substrate material is not too great to substantially affect the water-vapour-permeability thereof.

- The pattern of abrasion-resisting material in the form of discrete dots is discontinuous in the sense that it includes open areas free of polymeric material and does not form a continuous layer over the surface of the substrate. Generally, the percentage coverage of the surface of the flexible substrate 2 by the polymeric dots 12 is in the region 20-80%, particularly 30-70%, more especially 40-60% by area. It will be appreciated that the spacing between the dots 12 should not be so great as to permit ready access to open areas whereby abrasion of these open areas may occur.

The discontinuous pattern of discrete dots may be any suitable pattern so long as the coverage is such as to tend to prevent abrasion of the substrate. The pattern needs to be chosen so as to exhibit good

handleability.

- The dots 12 need not be substantially circular in shape as described in Fig. 1 to 3. The dots may in principle be of any shape, such as squares, rectangles, polygons etc. However, in order to reduce abrasion, squares, diamonds or other shapes having sharp corners are not preferred. Preferably, the cross-section of the dots in a plane normal to the substrate is substantially hemispherical, part-spherical or truncated hemispherical in shape.

The pattern of dots 12 may be a random pattern or an orderly pattern according to a predetermined spatial geometry. Although specific dimensions are given for the dots 12 described in Figs. 1 to 5, it will be understood that the dimensions can be varied in accordance with the purpose for which the composite material is to be used. Each dot is preferably of a maximum cross-dimension or width in the plane of the substrate which is less than 5000 microns, for example in the range 100 to 1000 microns, preferably 200-800, particularly 400-600 microns. The dots 12 may be spaced apart centre-to-centre by 200-2000 microns, particularly 300-1500, especially 400-900 microns. Each dot may have a height in the range 10-200 microns, preferably 70-140, particularly 80-100 microns.

The material of the present invention may be used to produce a variety of products including wearing apparel such as garments including hats, gloves or shoes.

- An important advantage of the water-vapour-permeable water-resistant composite materials of the present invention is their ability to be seam-sealed. Such sealing is carried out in order to seal the stitched seams of a water-resistant garment to prevent liquid water entering through the stitching holes. It is accomplished by applying a tape coated with a heated hot melt adhesive under pressure over the inside of the seam and bonding thereto. However, the seam-sealing of conventional material comprising a face fabric, intermediate porous membrane and inner lining is impeded by the presence of the inner lining which lies between the water-resistant membrane and the sealing tape, and which hinders the formation of a seal between the membrane and the tape.

It is found that the materials of the present invention have good seam sealing properties and adhesion of the seam sealing tape to the abrasion-resisting layer is good.

In use of a composite material of the type of the present invention, abrasion forces lead to the creation of leaks in the water-resistant composite material. This destroys the water-resistance of a garment constructed from the composite material. An appropriate test method for determining abrasion-resistance for present purposes is to measure the degree of abrasion until one or more leaks is formed in the material. The abrasion-resistance of the composite material including the abrasion-resisting layer according to the present invention has an abrasion-resistance which is greater (within experimental limits) than a flexible substrate without the abrasion-resisting layer. Depending on the nature of the abrasion-resisting layer, the abrasion resistance of the material according to the present invention may be at least 1.5 times, advantageously at least 4.0 times, the abrasion-resistance of the flexible substrate alone. In particular circumstances, the abrasion-resistance may be increased by up to 10 times or more. On the other hand, whilst the moisture vapour permeability of the substrate is decreased somewhat by the application of the abrasion-resisting layer (which could itself have a degree of water vapour permeability), such decrease would be also expected from the lamination of an inner lining to a substrate as in previously proposed technology. Thus, the use of an abrasion-resisting layer according to the present invention has the capacity to markedly increase the abrasion resistance of the material whilst at the same time not unduly decreasing the water-vapour-permeability.

It is surprisingly found that the decrease in water vapour permeability is proportionally less than the decrease in free surface area of the substrate on application of the abrasion resisting layer.

Tests on the composite material of the invention to measure abrasion resistance and water-resistance or water-vapour permeability were carried out using the following methods:-

## TEST METHODS

### TEST FOR MOISTURE VAPOUR TRANSMISSION RATE (MVTR)

#### (Potassium Acetate Method)

Moisture vapour transmission rate (MVTR), i.e. water-vapour-permeability, was measured by placing approximately 70 ml of a solution consisting of 35 parts by weight of potassium acetate and 15 parts by weight of distilled water into a 133 ml. polypropylene cup, having an inside diameter of 6.5 cm at its mouth. An expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane having a minimum MVTR of approximately  $85,000\text{g/m}^2/24\text{ hrs.}$  as tested by the method described in US Patent No. 4,862,730 to Crosby and available from W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc. of Newark, Delaware, was heat sealed to the lip of the cup to create a taut, leakproof, microporous barrier containing the solution.

A similar expanded PTFE membrane was mounted to the surface of a water bath. The water bath assembly was controlled at  $23^\circ\text{C}$  plus or minus  $0.2^\circ\text{C}$ , utilising a temperature controlled room and a water circulating bath. The sample to be tested was allowed to condition at a temperature of  $23^\circ\text{C}$  and a relative humidity of 50% prior to performing the test procedure. Three samples were placed so that each sample to be tested was in contact with the expanded PTFE membrane mounted over the surface of the water bath, and was allowed to equilibrate for at least 15 minutes prior to the introduction of the cup assembly.

The cup assembly was weighed to the nearest  $1/1000\text{g}$  and was inverted onto the centre of the test sample.

Water transport was provided by the driving force between the water in the water bath and the saturated salt solution providing water flux by diffusion in that direction. The sample was tested for 20 minutes and the cup assembly was then removed, and weighed again to within  $0.001\text{g}$ .

The MVTR of the sample was calculated from the weight gain of the cup assembly and was expressed in grams of water per square meter

of sample surface area per 24 hours.

## **ABRASION TEST**

Abrasion testing was carried out using a Martindale Abrasion machine and by rubbing samples with a standard wool toll SM25 which complied with draft ISO ST CD 12974-1 Table 1, clause 5.6.2 which is based on British Standard BS 5690, 1991.

Briefly, the test procedure is as follows:

Circular specimens of sample material are abraded on a reference abradant of a cross-breed worsted spun plain-woven wool fabric under pressure of 12kPa with a cyclic planar motion in the form of a Lissajous figure, which is the resultant of two simple harmonic motions at right angles to each other. The resistance to abrasion corresponds to the number of cycles to the defined end point. The abrasion machine is of the type described by Martindale (J.Text.Inst. 1942:33,T151).

Each sample is removed from the machine after a predetermined number of rubs and tested for liquid water-resistance as described herein (under a hydrostatic pressure of 2 psi (0.14 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for 3 minutes) until a leak was detected which indicated breakdown of water-resistance. Samples were tested every 100 rubs up to a 1000 rubs. They were then tested at the following intervals:

Every 2,000 rubs up to 20,000 rubs

Then every 5,000 " " 50,000 "

Then " 10,000 " " 100,000 "

and then " 20,000 ".

## **TEST FOR WATER-RESISTANCE (SUTER TEST)**

Samples of the present invention were tested for water-resistance using a modified Suter test apparatus, which is a low water entry pressure challenge. The test procedure is set out in BS3424, method 29C. Water was forced against the underside of a sample of 11.25 cm diameter sealed by two circular rubber gaskets in a clamped



arrangement. A sample having a substrate of expended PTFE with a hydrophilic coating on one side was mounted with the hydrophilic coating downwards against the water, the expanded porous PTFE membrane being uppermost. It is important that a leakproof seal is formed by the clamp mechanism, gaskets and sample. In deformable samples, the sample was overlaid by a reinforcing scrim (e.g. an open non-woven fabric) clamped over the sample. The upper side of the sample was open to the atmosphere and visible to the operator. The water pressure on the underside of the sample was increased to 2 pounds per square inch ( $0.14 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ ) by a pump connected to a water reservoir, as indicated by a pressure gauge and regulated by an in-line valve. The upper side of the sample was visually observed for a period of three minutes for the appearance of any water which might be forced through the sample in the event of lack of water-resistance. Liquid water seen on the surface was interpreted as a deficiency in the water-resistance of the sample (i.e. a leak). The sample passed the test if no liquid water was visible on the upper side of the sample within the three minute test period.

#### **WASH TO LEAKAGE TEST**

The purpose of this test is to determine the time at which leakage occurs in laminated samples by continuous wet flex and abrasion over an extended period of time.

Full width samples of approx. 36 cms in length are cut and the samples are trimmed on all 4 sides using pinking scissors. Where samples are likely to fray and cause tangling, all edges are sewn. Enough samples are cut to give a total wash load of  $900 \pm 90 \text{ gms}$ . Make weight fabric samples can be added to make the total weight if not enough samples are available. Samples are then conditioned at  $20 \pm 2^\circ \text{C}$  and  $65 \pm 5\%$  relative humidity for 4 hours.

The conditioned samples are tested on a Calibrated Hydrostatic Head Tester at 5 positions across the width of the fabric to 1 p.s.i. for 3 mins. to check for any leakage before washing. The positions are marked with an ink marker, so that the same positions can be tested

every time. The machine will have a ramp rate of 60 cms water pressure min. and samples will be tested at the 5 positions at 1 p.s.i. for 3 mins.

Enough samples or samples plus make-weights are prepared to make a total load of  $900 \pm 90$  gms. for each machine. Samples are then washed according to the Kenmore wash method (QL 062). After approx. 72 hrs. wash the samples are hung to dry, conditioned, then hydrostatically tested at the same 5 positions to 1 p.s.i. for 3 mins. The test site is deemed to have failed when the first small growing leak is observed. The wash/dry/test cycle is repeated until all 5 positions show signs of leaking.

#### **Kenmore Wash Method (QL062) (referred to above)**

This describes how the Kenmore wash machine heavy duty 70 series was used to do continuous wet flex and abrasion testing.

The machine has clear vented perspex cover to prevent the water temperature from exceeding  $45^{\circ}\text{C}$  with continuous washing. The temperature can be checked using a thermometer.

The machine had the water level control set at medium. The water temperature control was set to cold, and the machine cycle selector set at 14 which will give an agitation speed of 150-180 r.p.m. The auto/hand switch should be left on auto. The lid was closed with the isolator screwed fully in. The machine cycle selector knob was pulled out to make the machine fill with water until it reached a level at which the cut-off switch engages. The isolator was unscrewed and  $60 \pm 4$  litres of water poured into the machine and the depth of water measured. After adding the required samples to a total wash load of  $900 \pm 90$ g the lid was closed and the isolator screwed in. The wash cycle selector knob was operated to set the machine to wash mode. When ready to spin out the water, the control knob was operated and turned to spin. The water was drained from the machine. The isolator switch was unscrewed and the lid opened to inspect the samples.

The samples were checked approximately every 10 hours for tangling and untangled if required. Cold water added to keep the volume

correct every 24 hrs. approx. and the load of fabrics should be a constant weight. The water temperature was not allowed to exceed 45°C.

**TABLE 1**

Sample	Dot Polymer	Martindale Abrasion Test (cycles to 1st leakage) (Mean)	Moisture Vapour Transmission Rate	Wash Hours to Leakage (Mean)
2 Layer Taslan	NONE	3,800	12,463	594
2 Layer Taslan with dots	OLC-5T	55,200	8,861	799
2 Layer Islay	NONE	6,000	13,401	535
2 Layer Islay with dots	OLC-5T	131,000	8,241	659
2 Layer Milano	NONE	<1000	11,602	418
2 Layer Milano with Dots	OLC-5T	145,800	5,849	543

The foregoing Table 1 refers to six different laminates namely 2 layer Taslan, 2 layer Taslan with dots; 2 layer Islay, 2 layer Islay with dots; 2 layer Milano, 2 layer Milano with dots.

2 layer Taslan is a material comprising a face fabric of woven texturised nylon 66 laminated to an expanded PTFE membrane coated on its opposing surface with a continuous hydrophilic layer of water-vapour-permeable polyurethane as described in US Patent No. 4,194,041.

2 layer Islay is a material comprising a face fabric of woven texturised polyester twill fabric laminated to a porous expanded PTFE membrane coated on its opposing surface with a continuous hydrophilic layer of a water-vapour-permeable polyurethane as described in US Patent No. 4,194,041.

2 layer Milano is a material comprising a face fabric of plain woven high tenacity texturised nylon 66 laminated to a porous expanded PTFE membrane coated on its opposing surface with a continuous hydrophilic layer of a water-vapour-permeable polyurethane as described in US Patent No. 4,194,041.

The above Taslan, Islay and Milano materials are available from W. L. Gore & Associates (UK) Ltd.

Each of the six samples were tested using the tests previously described for water-vapour-permeability (MVTR), abrasion resistance, and wash to leakage by comparing a 2 layer plain substrate, i.e. a substrate and face fabric without abrasion-resisting dots against 2 layer substrates with a pattern of abrasion-resisting dots in accordance with the invention. Each sample was tested in triplicate.

Table 1 shows that substantial increases in abrasion resistance to first leakage are obtained in each of the samples having the abrasion-resisting dots of the invention.

Table 1 also indicates the polymer used in each case for the abrasion-resisting polymeric dots which was a polyurethane polymer referred to as OLC-5T and which was prepared according to the teachings of Example 1 of US Patent No. 5,209,969.

As described above in relation to Fig. 6 of the accompanying drawings, the method of the present invention using the polyurethane polymer OLC-5T requires curing of the polymer at an elevated temperature of around 200°C, say 160-200°C.

The polyurethane polymer OLC-5T is one of a number of polymers which have been found satisfactory for the formation of dots in accordance with the present invention. It will be appreciated, however, that other polymeric materials can also be used provided their abrasion resistance is satisfactory for their purpose and they are compatible with the substrate materials with which they are to be used.

The polymer was printed according to a 7-dot rosette pattern of the type shown in Figure 1.

As also can be seen from Table 1, the water-vapour-permeability (MVTR) of the composite material of the present invention is less than that of conventional 2-layer constructions but is at a level which is acceptable.

The wash to leakage test is another durability test which measures the time to leakage in a sample subjected to a continuous flex and abrasion under wet washing conditions. The wash durability of the materials of the present

•

• • •

The composite material of the present invention has generally been found to possess the durability normally associated with 3-layer materials including a conventional inner lining but is closer to the comfort, handle and production costs of a conventional 2-layer material.

**CLAIMS**

1. A composite material for a garment comprising
  - (a) a water-resistant, water-vapour-permeable, flexible substrate having a first and second side;
  - (b) a fabric secured to said first side of the substrate; and
  - (c) a plurality of discrete abrasion-resisting polymeric dots forming a discontinuous lining-forming pattern over the surface of said second side of the substrate and which dots resist abrasion of the flexible substrate.
2. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the dots have a substantially smooth, non-angular profile.
3. A composite material as claimed in claim 2, in which each of the dots has a cross-section in the plane of the substrate which is substantially circular and a cross-section which is substantially part-spherical in a plane normal to the substrate.
4. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the maximum dimension of the cross-section in the plane of the substrate is less than 5000 microns.
5. A composite material as claimed in claim 4, in which said maximum dimension is from 100 to 1000 microns.
6. A composite material as claimed in claim 5, in which said maximum dimension is from 200-800 microns.
7. A composite material as claimed in claim 6, in which said maximum dimension is from 400-600 microns.
8. A composite material as claimed in claim 7, in which each dot has a height in the range of 10 to 200 microns.

9. A composite material as claimed in claim 8, in which each dot has a height in the range of 70 to 140 microns.
10. A composite material as claimed in claim 9, in which each dot has a height in the range of 80 to 100 microns.
11. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the centre of each dot is spaced from the centre of an adjacent dot by 200 to 2000 microns.
12. A composite material as claimed in claim 11, in which the centre of each dot is spaced from the centre of an adjacent dot by 300 to 1500 microns.
13. A composite material as claimed in claim 12, in which the centre of each dot is spaced from the centre of an adjacent dot by 400 to 900 microns.
14. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the ratio of the distance between centres of adjacent dots, the maximum dimension of each dot and the height of each dot is within the range of about 7.5:5:1 to about 15:10:1.
15. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the percentage coverage of the surface of the substrate by the dots is 20 to 80%.
16. A composite material as claimed in claim 15, in which the percentage coverage of the surface of the substrate by the dots is 30 to 70%.
17. A composite material as claimed in claim 16, in which the percentage coverage of the surface of the substrate by the dots is 40 to 60%.
18. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the substrate is a porous membrane.
19. A composite material as claimed in claim 18 in which the porous membrane is expanded polytetrafluoroethylene.
20. A composite material as claimed in claim 18, in which the substrate comprises the porous membrane and a coating of a water-vapour-permeable hydrophilic polymer and to which coating the dots are secured.

21. A composite material as claimed in claim 20, in which the hydrophilic polymer is a polyurethane or polyester.
22. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which said dots are formed from an abrasion-resisting polyurethane having an elastic modulus greater than about 800 psi (5.5 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>).
23. A composite material as claimed in claim 21, in which the dot-forming polyurethane is water-vapour-permeable.
24. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the material was a water resistance of greater than 0.1 kg/cm.
25. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the material has a water-vapour-permeability in excess of 1500 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day.
26. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the dots are applied in the form of a plurality of rosettes.
27. A composite material as claimed in claim 1, in which the abrasion resistance of the composite material is at least 1.5 times the abrasion resistance of the flexible substrate alone.
28. A garment formed of a composite material comprising
  - (a) a water-resistant, water-vapour-permeable, flexible substrate having a first and second side;
  - (b) a fabric secured to said first side of the substrate; and
  - (c) a plurality of discrete abrasion-resisting polymeric dots forming a discontinuous lining-forming pattern over the surface of said second side of the substrate and which dots resist abrasion of the flexible substrate.
29. A garment as claimed in claim 28, in which the abrasion-resisting polymeric dots form the innermost component of the garment to form a lining.
30. A process of producing a composite material for a garment comprising securing a fabric to a first side of a flexible, water-resistant, water-vapour-permeable substrate; and applying a plurality of abrasion-resisting polymeric dots to a second side of said substrate in order to form a discontinuous lining-forming pattern over the surface of said second side to resist abrasion of the flexible substrate.



31. A process as claimed in claim 30, comprising applying the polymeric dots by means of gravure printing.
32. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which the dots have a substantially smooth, non-angular profile.
33. A process as claimed in claim 32, in which each of the dots has a cross-section in the plane of the substrate which is substantially circular and a cross-section which is substantially part-spherical in a plane normal to the substrate.
34. A process as claimed in any of claims 30, in which the maximum dimension of the cross-section in the plane of the substrate is less than 5000 microns.
35. A process as claimed in claim 34, in which the maximum dimension of the cross-section is from 100 to 1000 microns.
36. A process as claimed in claim 35, in which the maximum dimension of the cross-section is from 200-800 microns.
37. A process as claimed in claim 36, in which the maximum dimension of the cross-section is from 400-600 microns.
38. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which each dot has a height in the range of 10 to 200 microns.
39. A process as claimed in claim 38, in which each dot has a height in the range of 70 to 140 microns.
40. A process as claimed in claim 39, in which each dot has a height in the range of 80 to 100 microns.
41. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which the centre of each dot is spaced from the centre of an adjacent dot by 200 to 2000 microns.
42. A process as claimed in claim 41, in which the centre of each dot is spaced from the centre of an adjacent dot by 300 to 1500 microns.
43. A process as claimed in claim 42, in which the centre of each dot is spaced from the centre of an adjacent dot by 400 to 900 microns.

44. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which the ratio of the distance between centres of adjacent dots, the maximum dimension of each dot and the height of each dot is within the range of about 7.5:5:1 to about 15:10:1.
45. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which the percentage coverage of the surface of the substrate by the dots is 20 to 80%.
46. A process as claimed in claim 45, in which the percentage coverage of the surface of the substrate by the dots is 30 to 70%.
47. A process as claimed in claim 46, in which the percentage coverage of the surface of the substrate by the dots is 40 to 60%.
48. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which the substrate is a porous membrane.
49. A process as claimed in claim 48, in which the porous membrane is expanded polytetrafluoroethylene.
50. A process as claimed in claim 48, in which the substrate comprises the porous membrane and a coating of a water-vapour-permeable hydrophilic polymer and to which coating the dots are secured.
51. A process as claimed in claim 50, in which the hydrophilic polymer is a polyurethane or polyester.
52. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which said dots are formed from an abrasion-resisting polyurethane having an elastic modulus of greater than 800 psi (5.5 N/mm<sup>2</sup>).
53. A process as claimed in claim 51, in which the dot-forming polyurethane is water-vapour-permeable.
54. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which the material was a water resistance of greater than 0.1 kg/cm.
55. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which the material has a water-vapour-permeability in excess of 1500 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day.

56. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which the dots are applied in the form of a plurality of rosettes.

57. A process as claimed in claim 30, in which the abrasion resistance of the composite material is at least 1.5 times the abrasion resistance of the flexible substrate alone.

**ABSTRACT**

The invention relates to a composite lining material for a garment or the like comprising a water resistant water-vapour-permeable flexible substrate (2) having a fabric (4) secured to a first side of the substrate (2). A second side of the substrate (2) is provided with an abrasion resisting discontinuous layer (10) made up of a plurality of discrete abrasion resisting polymeric dots (12). The dots (12) prevent abrasion of the flexible substrate (2) and, in use, form a lining for the material.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

Scanned with PRO5150





FIG. 5







## Declaration and Power of Attorney For Patent Application English Language Declaration

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

Water Vapor Permeable Composite Material \_\_\_\_\_  
the specification of which

(check one)

☐ is attached hereto.

☒ was filed on September 17, 1998

Application Serial No. 09/155,041

and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_  
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)			Priority Claimed	
<u>9617181.4</u> (Number)	<u>GB</u> (Country)	<u>15 August 1996</u> (Day/Month/Year Filed)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<u>PCT/GB97/02172</u> (Number)	<u>PCT</u> (Country)	<u>12 August 1997</u> (Day/Month/Year Filed)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
_____ (Number)	_____ (Country)	_____ (Day/Month/Year Filed)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Serial No.)

(Filing Date)

(Status)  
(patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application Serial No.)

(Filing Date)

(Status)  
(patented, pending, abandoned)



I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. *(list name and registration number)*

Gary A. Samuels, 20,811; John S. Campbell, 28,366; Victor M. Genco, Jr. 34,335; David J. Johns, 31,527; Wayne D. House, 34,623; Eric J. Sheets, 30,326; Carol A. Lewis White, 33,306, Allan M. Wheatcraft, 36,307

Send Correspondence to: Gary A. Samuels, Esquire., W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc., 551 Paper Mill Road, Newark, DE 19714-9206

Direct Telephone Calls to: Gary A. Samuels (302) 738-4880

Full name of sole or first inventor <u>David George Halley</u>	
Inventor's signature 	Date <u>18<sup>th</sup> Feb 99</u>
Residence <u>Wester Lie, Main Street, St. Bothwells, TD6 OAR, United Kingdom</u>	
Citizenship <u>GBX</u>	
Post Office Address <u>Wester Lie, Main Street, St. Bothwells, TD6 OAR, United Kingdom</u>	
Full name of second joint inventor, if any <u>George Clarkson</u>	
Second Inventor's signature 	Date <u>2<sup>nd</sup> FEB 1999</u>
Residence <u>46 Sheriff Park, Linlithgow, W. Lothian EH49 7SS, United Kingdom</u>	
Citizenship <u>GBX</u>	
Post Office Address <u>46 Sheriff Park, Linlithgow, W. Lothian EH49 7SS, United Kingdom</u>	

(Supply similar information and signature for third and subsequent joint inventors.)

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)
--------------------------	---------------	--

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)
--------------------------	---------------	--

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. *(list name and registration number)*

Gary A. Samuels, 20,811; John S. Campbell, 28,366; Victor M. Genco, Jr 34,355; David J. Johns, 31,527; Wayne D. House, 34,623; Eric J. Sheets, 30,326; Carol A. Lewis White, 33,306, Allan M. Wheatcraft, 36,307

Send Correspondence to: Gary A. Samuels, Esquire, W. L. Gore & Associates, Inc., 551 Paper Mill Road, Newark, DE 19714-9206

Direct Telephone Calls to: Gary A. Samuels, Esquire, (302) 738-4880

Full name of third joint inventor, if any <b>John Edward Trouba</b>	
Third Inventor's signature <i>John E. Trouba</i>	Date <b>8 Feb 99</b>
Residence <b>4 Sullivan Chase Drive, Avondale, PA 19311, USA</b>	
Citizenship <b>PA</b> <b>USA</b>	
Post Office Address <b>4 Sullivan Chase Drive, Avondale, PA 19311, USA</b>	
Full name of fourth joint inventor, if any	
Fourth Inventor's signature	Date
Residence	
Citizenship	
Post Office Address	

(Supply similar information and signature for third and subsequent joint inventors.)